UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,109	09/08/2003	Kang Soo Seo	1740-000049/US	7044
30593 7590 03/03/2009 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910			EXAMINER	
			WENDMAGEGN, GIRUMSEW	
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/656,109	SEO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	GIRUMSEW WENDMAGEGN	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,6,9,10 and 17-33 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,6,9,10 and 17-33 is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration.  cted.  election requirement.	≣xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection.  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/3/08;1/2/09.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/03/2008 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page12-14, filed 12/03/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) claims1, 5-6, 9-10 and 17-32 under U.S.C. § 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/03/2008 regarding a rejection of claims 1, 5-6 and 9-10 under U.S.C. § 112 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection remains. In order to overcome this rejection applicant may replace "the computer readable medium" with "a high-density optical disk..." as defined in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,109 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

Claim1, 5-6, 9-10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The computer readable medium as recited in the above claims is not supported by the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 17, 19, 21-23, 27-29, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing (Reference the May 15, 2008 memorandum issued by Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, titled "Clarification of 'Processes' under 35 U.S.C. 101"). The instant claims neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process.

Art Unit: 2621

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim1, 17-20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al (Patent No US 7,236,687), further in view of Murase et al (patent No US 6,400,893).

Regarding claim1, 17-20, Kato et al (hereinafter Murase) teaches a computer readable medium having a data structure for managing reproduction of at least still images recorded on the computer readable medium, comprising: a data area storing at least one clip stream file (see figure2-3 clip AV stream); a playlist area storing a playlist file (see figure2-3 playlist), the playlist file including at least one playitem indicating an in-point and out-point of the clip stream file to reproduce at least one still image (see figure2 playitem, figure3 in-point and out-point); and a clip information area storing at least one clip information file, the clip information file associated with the clip stream file (see figure2 and 3 clip information), the clip information file including a type indicator (see figure 46 clip\_stream\_type) and a mapping information (see column13 line9-14), the mapping information mapping a presentation time to a unit of clip stream file(see column13 line15-22), the clip information file further includes a length indicator indicating a size of the clip information file subsequent to the length indicator (see

figure46, length) but does not teach the clip stream file including video data representing at least one still image, and the clip stream file not including audio data; the type indicator indicating that the clip information file is related to managing the still image. However Murase teaches the clip stream file including video data representing at least one still image, and the clip stream file not including audio data (see column6 line42-55; column28 line4-11).but both Kato and Murase do not teach type indicator regarding still image in clip information file. However it old well known in the art to include type indicator regarding still image in clip information file. Therefore official notice is taken.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to include type indicator regarding still image in to Kato clip information file because it would allow the system to distinguish between still image and other types of clip streams.

Claim5-6, 9-10,21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al (Patent No US 7,236,687) and Murase et al (Patent No US 6,400,893) as applied to claim1, 17-20 above, and further in view of Yamauchi et al (Pub NO US 2003/0014760).

Regarding claim5, see the teaching of Kato and Murase above. Both do not teach each still image in the clip stream file is recoded as packetized elementary stream

packet. However Yamauchi teaches packetized elementary stream packet (PES) comprises one picture (see paragraph 0073).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to record each still image in the clip as packetized elementary stream packet as in Yamauchi in to Kato because it would make synchronization much effective.

Regarding claim6, 21, 24, 27, 30, Kato teaches the computer readable medium of claim 5, wherein each source packet includes at least one transport packet (see figure82 source packet and Transport Packet).

Regarding claim9, 22, 25, 28, 31, Murase teaches the computer readable medium of claim1, wherein the video data of the data-clip stream file represents a still image and is recorded in the data area interleaved with other data (see column6 line43-55; column28 line4-11).

Regarding claim10, 23, 26, 29, 32, 33, Murase teaches the computer readable medium of claim 9, wherein the other data is at least one of movie data and audio data (see column6 line43-55).

Regarding claim5, the computer readable medium of claim1, wherein the video data is recorded as one or more packetized elementary stream packets, each still image

in the clip stream file is recorded as a packetized elementary stream packet, and each packetized elementary stream packet includes at least one source packet.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, absent unexpected results to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GIRUMSEW WENDMAGEGN whose telephone number is (571)270-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, M-F, already Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tran Thai can be reached on (571)272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,109

Art Unit: 2621

/Girumsew Wendmagegn/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621 Page 8

/JAMIE JO VENT ATALA/

Examiner, Art Unit 2621